# Analysis on Water Allocation Between Farmer Groups for Sustainable Rice Production: A Case Study in Pursat River Basin, Cambodia 持続的なコメ生産のための農民間での水配分解析 ーカンボジア国プルサト流域における事例-

○Sokhom Khoeun<sup>1</sup>, Tasuku Kato<sup>2</sup> ○クン ソコム,加藤 亮

## I. Introduction

The Participatory Irrigation Management and Development (PIMD) approach was introduced into Cambodia in 2000. The goal of PIMD is to establish Farmer Water User Communities (FWUCs) to manage irrigation scheme in their district in order to improve the performance of irrigation system. Through the establishment of FWUCs, development of irrigation infrastructures are more promoted, and reliable operation and management increases benefit for farmers (NSDP, 2014). However, PIMD is not active in Charek FWUC in Kandieng district, Pursat river basin (RBWRU, JICA project Cambodia, 2016). This case study is aimed to analyze factors through direct interview that influences farmers' participation of FWUC such as demography, rice production and water utilization, and perception of farmers' on FWUC related water demand and supply.

## II. Materials and Methods

The research was conducted in Charek FWUC, Sya commune, Kandieng district, Pursat province, situated downstream of Pursat river basin. 63 purposive samplings. 1 village 9 respondents, head 2 villages, middle 2 villages, and tail 3 villages was recommended by head of Charek FWUC. Data were collected during February-April August-September 2017, and using structured questionnaire of qualitative and quantitative regarding to demographic characteristics of farmers, agricultural production and water utilization; And regarding perception of farmers on water



Fig.1 Map of study area

allocation, field survey was conducted for the current condition of irrigation infrastructures. The interviews were used to acquire information on the status of current irrigation management and its challenges, 2 group discussions with 11 head of farmer groups and 7 representatives of farmer in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 東京農工大学農学府: Graduate school of Agriculture, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology <sup>2</sup>東京農工大学農学研究院: Institute of Agriculture, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

each 7 villages. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 20 and excel 2010 version were used to analyze descriptive and frequency. GIS analysis on priority of irrigation scheme.

#### III. Results

1-To understand farmers' demographic characteristics and attitude toward participation in irrigation management;

2-To identify and rank obstacles for farmers' participation in irrigation management;

3-To study relationships between farmers' attitude and factors affecting them participation.

| Characteristics                       | Ν  | Min. | Max. | Mean | S.D  |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|
| Age (years)                           | 63 | 29   | 74   | 47.8 | 10.2 |
| Education<br>(years)                  | 63 | 0    | 12   | 5.4  | 3.2  |
| Household size<br>(number)            | 63 | 2    | 11   | 5.5  | 1.9  |
| Land size (ha)                        | 63 | 0.7  | 12   | 3.0  | 2.2  |
| Irrigated farm<br>(ha)                | 63 | 0    | 6    | 1.7  | 1.4  |
| Not irrigated<br>farm (ha)            | 63 | 0    | 6    | 1.1  | 1.3  |
| Agricultural<br>experience<br>(years) | 63 | 6    | 38   | 27.4 | 11.2 |

#### Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Table 2: Perception of farmers on water allocation, N=63

| Rank<br>statement of<br>respondents | None | Low | Aver<br>age | High | Very<br>High | Mean | S.D. |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----|-------------|------|--------------|------|------|
| Water allocation                    | 4    | 46  | 7           | 2    | 4            | 2.3  | 0.9  |
| Necessary.<br>Est. FWUC             | 5    | 3   | 21          | 6    | 28           | 3.8  | 1.3  |
| Role &<br>Responsible<br>FWUC       | 13   | 14  | 12          | 8    | 11           | 3    | 1.5  |
| Satisfaction<br>water<br>allocation | 3    | 20  | 24          | 5    | 11           | 3.02 | 1.15 |
| Awareness<br>of ISP                 | 11   | 9   | 13          | 7    | 23           | 3.2  | 1.5  |



Fig.2 Problem of Irrigation Management

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18H02295.